

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,115	08/17/2006	John E. Oldenburg	L0786-01160PUS2	1253	
2592 7599 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BLAU, STEPI	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/598.115 OLDENBURG, JOHN E. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3711 Stephen L. Blau All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Stephen L. Blau. (2) Leonard R. Svensson (Reg. No. 30,330). (4)____. Date of Interview: 29 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 12-20. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the action dated 26 March 2009. Discussed how the Examiner interprets the same as being exactly identical. Discussed how prior art patents have used the word substantially in order to take into account manfacturing inaccuracies. Discussed how the word substantially would need to be in the originally filed disclosure to use such word in the claims of this application. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen L. Blau/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711
U.S. Patent and Trademan's Office